| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/564,454 | SOENKSEN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | SHEELA C. CHAWAN | 2624 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | <u> </u> |
| (1) SHEELA C. CHAWAN. | (3) | |
| (2) Mr. Paul Chester M (Reg # 51,990). | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 3 July 2009 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: Claim 1 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Amended claim 1, because of 112 second paragraph issuse in claim 1, line 16, delete "using a few examples", to over come 112 second paragraph . Applicant has given authority to examiner Amended. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. | he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| /Sheela C Chawan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624 | (Applicant/Applicant's Representat | tive Signature – if appropriate) |
| | | |